

"Express Mail" mailing label number: EL 737386 887 US

Date of Deposit: 03/15/2002

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" services under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Typed Name of Person Mailing Paper or Fee: **Chris Griffin**

Signature: *Chris Griffin*

UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION FOR  
CONFIGURATIONS FOR COLOR DISPLAYS BY THE USE OF LENTICULAR  
OPTICS

Inventors:

Paul M. Hubel  
Robert W.G. Hunt

HP PD NO 10001028-1

**TITLE OF THE INVENTION**

CONFIGURATIONS FOR COLOR DISPLAYS BY THE USE OF  
LENTICULAR OPTICS

5

**INVENTORS**

PAUL HUBEL  
ROBERT W.G. HUNT

**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

10

The present invention is directed towards the optics  
of luminance-chrominance systems for projection displays.

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

15

20

25

Optical projection systems in which the image is  
generated by light modulated by one or more "lightvalves"  
are becoming increasingly common. Devices such as  
televisions, presentation projectors and computer  
monitors have utilized such lightvalve based projection  
systems. Typically, in a single lightvalve system, a  
color image is produced by projecting red (R), green (G)  
and blue (B) (collectively referred to as "primary"  
hereinafter) image fields in a time sequential manner  
with sufficient rapidity that flicker is not apparent.  
The overall frame rate desired for color images is  
typically 60 Hertz or greater. Thus, the corresponding  
interval between each color image field is 1/180th of a  
second or less.

Single lightvalve systems such as those used in  
LCD (Liquid Crystal Display) projection systems are

relatively inexpensive and the resulting performance is satisfactory. However, an inherent drawback of time sequential lightvalve and other systems is an effect known as 'color breakup artifact' or 'field sequential color artifact'. Color breakup artifact manifests itself to a viewer as a transient rainbow-like fringing effect when rapid eye movements of several degrees are made. The effect is an inherent property of the human visual system but sensitivity to the effect varies greatly from person to person. Moreover, the seriousness of the effect depends strongly on the nature of the image being viewed.

An important issue in evaluating projection system methods is the total number of pixels required, because the cost and complexity of the display normally increase as the number of pixels required increases. In describing various projection systems it is convenient to express the number of pixels as a multiple of the number, N, which is the required number of pixels in the field sequential projection system. In addition to the number of pixels, the final screen luminance should be high enough so that it matches or exceeds the luminance provided by other display systems under commercialization. The final screen luminance of the whites of a projection system, can be expressed as a function of L, the final screen luminance of a field

sequential projection system using a single reflecting  
lightvalve array with a polarizing cube.

A number of methods which have been traditionally  
used include the use of a separate projection system for  
each of red, green and blue lamps, or a single lamp with  
dichroic mirrors providing three separate red, green and  
blue beams. Another set of methods uses a large mosaic  
filter with a reflecting lightvalve array and polarizing  
beamsplitter.

**Figures 1-4** show some of these methods that may be  
employed in projection systems. Projection system 100 of  
**Figure 1** uses three lamps (e.g. projection cathode-ray  
tubes) 110 to achieve the projection of red, green, and  
blue images in register on a reflecting or on a  
translucent screen. This has achieved commercial  
success, but the cost is high. The light from lamps 110  
are filtered by interference filters 120, three in  
number, which will each increase the luminance of the  
red, green and blue beams as compared to when using dye-  
based filters. The red, green and blue light beams  
resulting from the filtering are each passed thru  
condensers 130. Condensers 130 are a series of lensing  
elements that make the light beams spatially uniform  
(i.e. even out the power of light across the area of the  
beam). In projection system 100, three lightvalve arrays

140 are used, each within its own beam area, to project red, green, and blue images in register on a translucent screen 160. With the aid of projection lenses 150, the light from the arrays is focused and thus combined onto a single RGB point on screen 160. Registration problems can be reduced by using reflecting arrays since their size can be small compared to the size of the final image. The number of required pixels is  $3N$ .

Alternatively, as shown in **Figure 2**, the light from a single lamp is 210 split into red, green, and blue components by three dichroic mirrors 215. The light from dichroic mirrors 215 are filtered by interference filters 220, three in number, which will each increase the luminance of the red, green and blue beams as compared to when using dye-based filters. The red, green and blue light beams resulting from the filtering are each passed thru condensers 230 which make the beams of light spatially uniform. In projection system 200, three lightvalve arrays 240 are also used, each within its own beam area, to project red, green, and blue images in register on a translucent screen 260. With the aid of projection lenses 250, the light from the arrays are focused onto a single RGB lumen on screen 160.

Both projection systems 100 and 200 suffer the cost disadvantages of having three lightvalve arrays and three

lenses, of maintaining the registration of the three projected images. The number of total pixels for projection system 200 is again 3N as with the three lamp system 100 of **Figure 1**. Compared with the prism assembly method (shown below in **Figure 4**), potential advantages are that, if three lamps are used as in system 100, the light output is tripled; or if one lamp is used with beam-splitting dichroic mirrors as in system 200, they are less costly to assemble and manufacture than the prism assembly methods.

An alternative approach is to abandon time sequential imaging while still using only one lightvalve by presenting the primary colors to the viewer in the space domain, rather than in the time domain. One way of constructing such a field sequential system would be to arrange the R, G and B pixels in a mosaic pattern, like the arrangement of phosphor spots in a Cathode Ray Tube device. The lightvalve would be illuminated using white light, and each R pixel would be covered with a red filter, each G pixel with a green filter and each B pixel with a blue filter. The requisite filter array would contain about  $10^6$  or more filters. Furthermore, in the case of a micro-display lightvalve array, each filter would measure only  $10 \times 10 \mu\text{m}^2$ . Though conceptually easy, implementing such large filter arrays and such small

individual filters could be prohibitively expensive. Disadvantageously, mosaic filter arrays need about three times as many pixels.

**Figure 3** shows one such mosaic filter 300 that can be employed in a projection system. Mosaic filter 300 contains a pattern of red, green and blue pixel locations. In light-sensitive arrays (such as CCD arrays) more green cells are usually incorporated than red or blue cells. Where required mosaic filter 300 may instead be composed of cyan, magenta, yellow, and green cells or any combination of colors. For most displays, the relative numbers of red, green, and blue cells have to be chosen to maximize the luminance of the display and to keep the total number of cells to a minimum. To meet these requirements an equal number of red, green, and blue cells are used as in the case of mosaic filter 300.

Single transmitting LCD arrays, covered with a mosaic of red, green, and blue filters, such as filter mosaic 300, provide either panel displays illuminated by fluorescent lamps or projected displays by means of overhead projectors. In projection system 400 of **Figure 4**, a reflecting LCD array 430 is used with mosaic filters 440 to provide a projected image based on light originating from a single lamp 410. Reflecting array 440 is used because its small size makes a compact projector

possible. Condenser 420 makes the light from lamp 410 to be spatially uniform. Field lens 450 transmits the image onto the appropriate position on projection lens 490.

The image rays are first passed through a polarizing cube 470 which allows any light arriving in a given direction X to pass through its hypotenuse while reflecting back any light perpendicular to that direction X. The projection lens 490 focuses the filtered light output from polarizing cube 470 onto a screen or other destination (not shown). Some advantages of projection system 400 are that only one light-valve array is used, no temporal color break-up can occur, and no prism assembly is required. A disadvantage is that the filters 440 have to be made of dyes and thus have lower transmittances than the interference filters used in the filter wheel of the field sequential system or in the dichroic elements of the prism assembly. The number of pixels required for this mosaic method is usually  $3N$ .

One other arrangement of avoiding the mosaic filters is to provide a rapidly revolving red, green, and blue filter wheel in front of a single reflecting LCD array with a polarizing beam-splitting cube. The use of a reflecting array makes it possible to incorporate micro-circuits beneath the pixels without loss of light; and illuminating them with a polarizing beam-splitter cube



makes for a convenient and efficient arrangement.

However, the field sequential system provided by the rotating filter wheel results in disturbing color break-up for some observers. The use of three lightvalve

5 arrays, with a dichroic prism assembly to combine the three images, avoids the color break-up, but involves the added costs of two extra arrays and the prism assembly.

Alternative methods of projecting images, using lightvalve arrays to produce color displays, are therefore of interest.

## SUMMARY

The invention in one or more embodiments consists of a lenticular array and one or more lightvalves together with lens filters that serve to synthesize mosaic filters in an optical projection system that produces an image.

In various embodiments, the filters correspond and align with the shape and configuration of lenticules in the lenticular array. Also, in various embodiments, the lightvalves may be of a transmitting or reflecting type and in most embodiments, the lenticular array is built onto one of the lightvalves. Further, in various embodiments, the luminance and chrominance components of the image to be projected may be processed into separate signals.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

**Figure 1** illustrates a typical triple projection system using three lamps.

**Figure 2** illustrates a typical triple projection system using one lamp.

**Figure 3** illustrates a typical filter mosaic utilized in a typical LCD array based projection system.

**Figure 4** illustrates a typical single LCD transmitting array based projection system.

**Figure 5** illustrates the optical principles underlying a lightvalve and lenticular array based projection system in accordance with one or more embodiments of the invention.

**Figures 6(a)-(b)** illustrate various lenticules that may be employed in one or more embodiments of the invention.

**Figure 7(a)-(c)** illustrates various filters that may be employed in one or more embodiments of the invention.

**Figure 8** illustrates a cylindrical Red, Green and Blue lenticular projection system with a transmitting lightvalve array.

**Figure 9** illustrates a spherical lenticular projection system with a transmitting lightvalve array.

**Figure 10** illustrates a cylindrical Red, Green and Blue lenticular projection system with a reflecting chrominance array and transmitting luminance array.

**Figure 11** illustrates a cylindrical Red, Green and Blue lenticular projection system with a reflecting chrominance array and reflecting luminance array.

**Figure 12** illustrates a cylindrical Red, Green and Blue lenticular projection system with a transmitting chrominance array and reflecting luminance array.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The invention is directed toward emulating a mosaic filter in a novel manner that also can reduce color breakup artifact. One or more embodiments described herein is a optical projection system with a lenticular array and a large filter which is placed at the projection lens' aperture stop.

**Figure 5** illustrates the optical principles underlying a lightvalve and lenticular array based projection system in accordance with one or more embodiments of the invention. In optical projection system 500, the field lens 530 and projection lens 540 are assumed for clarity, to be ideal and thin. Also, to simplify the illustration, only rays that leave the lightvalve 510 parallel to the optic axis (OA) are shown.

The spacing between the field lens 530 and projection lens 540 is  $F$ , which (in this example) is equal to the focal length of the field lens. In optical projection system 500, it is assumed that the lenticular array 520 is cylindrical in composition, and that each lenticule is wide enough to cover three pixels (for instance,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$  and  $a_3$ ), one of each primary color. The function of the lenticular array 520 is to ensure that, after passing through it, all of the R (Red) rays lie

within a single band of angles, independently of their starting point on the lightvalve 510, all of the G (Green) rays lie within a second band of angles, and all the B (Blue) rays within a third band of angles. In

5 **Figure 5**, for example, the angular bands, measured with respect to the optic axis, are approximately  $37.5^\circ$  to  $12.5^\circ$  for R,  $-12.5^\circ$  to  $12.5^\circ$  for G and  $12.5^\circ$  to  $37.5^\circ$  for B.

The function of the field lens 530 is to transform these angular ranges into spatial regions at the aperture stop of the projection lens 540. Thus, the R rays fill the lower third of the stop, the G rays the middle third (labeled Section 4), and the B rays the upper third (labeled Section 1). The filter 550 is placed at the plane of the projection lens 540 and thus, also takes on three sections, the lower third being Red (R) and labeled Section 7, the middle being Green (G) and labeled Section 4, and the upper third being Blue (B) and labeled Section 1. Filter 550 consists of three differently colored  
20 glasses: the R rays go through a red filter, the G rays through a green filter, and the B rays through a blue filter. In this way, the lenticular array 520, the field lens 530 and the filter 550 emulate the function of a mosaic filter array placed over the lightvalve 510.

Optical projection system 500 maps each pixel of the lightvalve into a corresponding location on screen 590. A portion of the lightvalve 510 shown in **Figure 5** is a vertical section through one column with each lenticule divided into three sections  $a_k$ ,  $a_{k+1}$ , and  $a_{k+2}$  (where  $k$  is a non-zero whole number), which represent R, G and B rays, respectively. Optical projection system 500 has the following characteristics and parameters:

- The focal length of the lenticules (of any section of the lenticular array 520) into glass is  $f_1$ ,
- The focal length of the lenticules (of any section of the lenticular array) into air is  $f_2$ .
- Thus, if the glass has a refractive index of  $Z$ , and the radius of curvature of each lenticule is  $R$ , then:

$$\frac{Z}{f_1} = \frac{1}{f_2} = \frac{Z-1}{R}$$

- The color filter 550 is placed at the plane of the projection lens 540 through its aperture stop. (In the case of a thick projection lens, it would be placed approximately at its principal plane.)

- The focal length of the field lens 530 is  $F$ , and the separation between the field lens 530 and projection lens 540 is also equal to  $F$ .

The rays emanating from pixels  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$  and  $a_3$  on the lightvalve 510 are assumed to be parallel to the optic

axis. The rays emanating from a1, a2 and a3 are brought to a common image point A1-3 on the screen 590. In the section shown, all the rays from a1 pass through the region S4 in the plane of the projection lens 540. This corresponds to Section 1 of the filter 550. Similarly, the rays emanating from a2 pass through the region S3 in the plane of projection lens 540, corresponding to Section 4 of the filter 550. The rays emanating from a1 pass through the region S2 in the plane of projection lens 540, corresponding to Section 7 of the filter 550.

Similar rays (i.e. rays parallel to the optic axis) emanating from pixels a4, a5 and a6 are not depicted in **Figure 5**, but given the optical characteristics of the system, these rays are also brought to a common image point on screen 590. All the rays emanating from a4 pass through Section 7 of filter 550, those from a5 pass through Section 4 and those from a6 pass through Section 1.

By induction, referring to **Figure 5**, in general:

- All rays emanating parallel to the optic axis from any group of three pixels served by the same lenticule are brought to a common image point on screen 590.

- All the rays emanating parallel to the optic axis from pixels such as a1, a4, a7, . . . a(1+3n), where



n is an integer, pass through Section 7 of the filter  
550.

- All the rays emanating parallel to the optic axis from pixels such as  $a_2, a_5, a_8, \dots a_{(2+3n)}$ , where  
5 n is an integer, pass through Section 4 of the filter  
550.

- All the rays emanating parallel to the optic axis from pixels such as  $a_3, a_6, a_9, \dots a_{(3+3n)}$ , where  
n is an integer, pass through Section 1 of the filter  
550.

Further, **Figure 5** has been drawn as an approximation and is not to scale, but the optics therein should also have these properties:

- 1) The distance ( $D_1$ ) between the image point in the plane of lightvalve 510 and the optic axis is proportional to the distance ( $D_2$ ) between the central pixel in the group of 3 and the optic axis in the plane of the lightvalve 510; and

- 2) The constant of proportionality between  
20 distances  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  is equal to the magnification of the projection lens.

Also, referring again to **Figure 5**, in general:

- All the rays emitted from the centers of pixels  $a_1, a_4, a_7, \dots a_{(1+3n)}$ , where n is an integer, pass  
25 through the center of Section 7 of the filter 550.

• All the rays emitted from the centers of pixels a2, a5, a8, ... a(2+3n) pass through the center of Section 4 of the filter 550.

• All the rays emitted from the centers of pixels a2, a5, a8, ... a(2+3n) pass through the center of Section 1 of the filter 550.

For illustration, assume that a white area is being imaged. Then regions such as A13-15, A10-12, A7-9, A4-6, and A1-3 will be colored white, and the regions between them will (to first order) not be illuminated. Since patch A13-15 represents a combination of pixels a13, a14 and a15, and so on, this means that each group of 3 pixels (not the individual pixels themselves) of the lightvalve 510 is represented at the screen 590.

**Figures 6(a)-(b)** illustrate various lenticules that may be employed in one or more embodiments of the invention. If it is desirable to use spherical lensing elements, a spherical lenticule 610, such as that shown in **Figure 6(a)**, can be used in implementing a lenticular projection system. Each spherical lenticule 610 is situated above groups of four adjacent pixels that form a square in the lightvalve. This spherical lenticule 610 is repeated over an entire lightvalve. The spherical lenticule 610 should be dimensioned such that it covers precisely four pixels in a square. Of the four pixels in

each group which is covered by lenticule 610, in some  
embodiments, one pixel carries a red signal, one pixel  
carries a green signal, one pixel carries a blue signal,  
and the fourth pixel carries either a red, or a green, or  
a blue signal. In other embodiments the fourth signal  
could be a pure white signal that enhances the luminance  
of the final image. While increasing overall luminance,  
White as the fourth signal cuts the saturation  
(chrominance) available when compared to if white were  
replaced by a filter of a primary color. If the  
spherical lenticule 610 is used in constructing the  
lenticular array, then any corresponding projection lens  
should be covered with a four-part filter array. This  
array should be oriented such that light rays from each  
pixel in the groups of four (i.e. each of the four  
sections in the spherical lenticule 610) passes through  
only one part of the filter array. One advantage of  
using spherical lenticule 610 is that pixels are square  
in shape making their projection less complicated than  
non-square pixels.

However, if it is desirable to use cylindrical  
lensing elements, then a cylindrical lenticule 620, such  
as that shown in **Figure 6(b)**, can be used in implementing  
a lenticular projection system. Each cylindrical  
lenticule 620 is situated above groups of three adjacent

pixels that form rectangular stripes in a lightvalve.  
Cylindrical lenticule 620 is repeated over an entire  
lightvalve. The cylindrical lenticule 620 should be  
dimensioned such that it covers precisely three pixels in  
5 stripes.

Of the three pixels in each group which is covered  
by lenticule 620, one carries a red signal, one carries a  
green signal, and one carries a blue signal. Each pixel  
in each row would need to be three times as long in the  
direction parallel to the lenticules as in the direction  
at right angles to them, so that the format of the array  
would not be altered. If the cylindrical lenticule 620  
is used in constructing the lenticular array, then any  
corresponding projection lens should be covered with a  
three-part filter array.

In one implementation, such projection lens is  
covered with a stripe (or segment) of red filter, a  
stripe (or segment) of green filter, and a stripe (or  
segment) of blue filter. Each of the stripes are of  
20 equal width but, on a circular lens, the central stripe  
is longer than the top and bottom stripes. It may  
therefore be advantageous to use this stripe for the  
color which is most limited for light. The stripes on  
the lens are parallel to the cylindrical lenticules. The  
25 set of lenticules can be parallel to the lines forming

the picture or at right angles to them, according to  
whichever configuration is the more advantageous. Though  
the pixel is rectangular in shape, the number of  
requisite pixels can be only 3/4 of that of spherical  
5 lenticule systems.

**Figure 7(a)-(c)** illustrates various filters that may  
be employed in one or more embodiments of the invention.  
RGB filter 710 of **Figure 7(a)** is composed of three  
stripes, a first, topmost stripe carrying Green (G), a  
second middle stripe carrying Red (R) and the third,  
bottom stripe carrying Blue (B). The middle stripe is  
longer than the top and bottom stripes, and can thus be  
used for the color which is most limited for light, e.g.  
Red. The RGB filter 710 would be fitted over a  
projection lens in a projection system where the  
lenticular array was cylindrical, and used lenticules  
similar to lenticule 620 shown in **Figure 6(b)**.

RGB filter 720 of **Figure 7(b)** is composed of four  
segments, each segment a one-quarter portion (i.e. a  
20 quadrant of the circle) of the entire filter 720. The  
top leftmost segment carries Red (R), the top rightmost  
segment carries Green (G) while the bottom leftmost  
segment carries Blue (B). In the configuration of filter  
720, the bottom rightmost segment also carries a Red (R)  
25 signal, such that if Red is the most light-limited, it

would yield a brighter projected image. In other configurations, the arrangement of Red, Green and Blue and duplication of one of the primary color signals may be varied as required by the arrangement of pixels on the lightvalve. Further, the choice of which primary to repeat may depend upon the spectral composition of the original light source. The RGB filter 720 would be fitted over a projection lens in a projection system where the lenticular array was spherical, and used lenticules similar to lenticule 610 shown in **Figure 6(a)**.

In other embodiments, a four-part RGBW filter 730 (shown in **Figure 7(c)**) can instead be used in a projection system that uses a spherical lenticular array. RGBW filter 730 of **Figure 7(c)** is composed of four segments, each segment a one quarter portion (i.e. a quadrant of the circle) of the entire RGBW filter 730. The top leftmost segment carries Red (R), the top rightmost segment carries Green (G) while the bottom leftmost segment carries Blue (B). In the configuration of RGBW filter 730, the bottom rightmost segment also carries a White (W) signal, which may thereby yield a projected image with a greater luminance than a pure RGB only system. In other configurations, the arrangement of Red, Green and Blue and White color signals may be varied

as required by the arrangement of pixels on the  
lightvalve.

**Figure 8** illustrates a cylindrical lenticular  
projection system with a transmitting array. Projection  
system 800 consists first of a lamp 810 which is  
typically a spherical light source with a reflector.  
Lamp 810 sends light to a condenser 820 which refracts  
that light such that it is spatially uniform and parallel  
to the axis but with a range of angles typical of  
condenser lenses. The light from the output of condenser  
820 passes through a transmitting array 830.  
Transmitting array 830 includes a lightvalve array  
covered by a lenticular array, which in this embodiment,  
has a field of cylindrical lenticules, such as the one  
illustrated in **Figure 6(b)**.

In non-lenticular projection systems (e.g. slide or  
motion projection systems), the red, green, and blue  
exposures occur on the film at positions such that the  
light, during projection, retraces its path. There is  
therefore no problem caused by the rays near the edges of  
the picture not being at right angles to the lenticular  
array. When using lenticules with a lightvalve array  
(transmitting array 830), a field lens 840 is used to  
ensure that the central beam to the projection lens is  
always at right angles to the lenticules in all parts of

the picture. Alternatively, the lenticular array can be used in the manufacture of the light valve pixels to position them appropriately to allow for the angles of the light at different parts of the picture.

5           The function of field lens 840 is to transform beams of light filling certain angular bands into spatial ranges at the aperture stop of a projection lens 860. Light emerging from the field lens 840 is first passed through filter 850. Since the lenticular array of the transmitting array 830 consists of cylindrical lenticules, filter 850 should be similar to filter 710 depicted in **Figure 7(a)**, and should have a stripe of Red, Green and Blue for a total of three stripes. The arrangement of these stripes would depend on the pattern of the pixels in the transmitting array 830. The Red rays will pass through the Red stripe of the filter 850, the Blue rays will pass through the Blue stripe of filter 850 and the Green rays will pass through the Green stripe of filter 850. The projection lens 860 focuses the  
15 filtered light output from filter 850 onto a screen or other destination (not shown). The three rays, Red, Green and Blue, for a given pixel, are combined onto one location on that screen/destination by the projection lens 860. The lenticular array of transmitting array  
20 830, the field lens 840 and filter 850, in combination  
25



with one another serve to emulate a large mosaic filter placed over a lightvalve array (as was depicted in **Figure 3** and **Figure 4**) with less expense. Interference filters, such as filter 850, may be used over the projection lens 860 to maximize their transmittance.

Projection system 800 is advantageous in that the mosaic filter is avoided and replaced instead by embossed lenticules (over the lightvalve array of transmitting array 830). Compared to a field sequential projection system, which has N pixels, the use of separate Red, Green and Blue signals, increases the total number of pixels for projection system 800 to  $3*N$ . Further, these pixels are rectangular in shape, which may be inconvenient in dimensioning the final screen projection.

Another measure to consider is the final screen luminance of whites generated by projection system 800. Assume L is the final screen luminance of whites in a field sequential projection system using a single reflecting lightvalve array with a polarizing cube.

Then, the final screen luminance of the projection system 800 could be expressed as  $A*3*L$ , where A is the ratio of the area of the shortest stripe of the filter of the projection to the total filter area. For instance, if the filter 710 of **Figure 7(a)** is used, and if the widths of three stripes are equal, then the top and bottom

stripes have an area of 0.28 of that of the total filter,  
while the center stripe has an area of 0.44 of that of  
the total filter. In such a case, the final screen  
luminance would be  $0.28 \times 3 \times L$  or  $0.84 \times L$  which is less than  
5 the final screen luminance of a field sequential system  
by 16% ignoring any differences in the light losses  
between the polarizing cube and the lenticular optics.

**Figure 9** illustrates a spherical lenticular  
projection system with a transmitting lightvalve array.  
Projection system 900 consists first of a lamp 910 which  
is typically a spherical light source with a reflector.  
Lamp 910 sends light to a condenser 920 which refracts  
that light to become spatially uniform. The light from  
the output of condenser 920 passes through a transmitting  
array 930. Transmitting array 930 includes a lightvalve  
array covered by a lenticular array, which in this  
embodiment, has a field of spherical lenticules, such as  
the one illustrated in **Figure 6(a)**.

In non-lenticular projection systems (e.g. slide  
20 projection or motion picture systems), the red, green,  
and blue exposures occur on the film at positions such  
that the light, during projection, retraces its path.  
There is therefore no problem caused by the rays near the  
edges of the picture not being at right angles to the  
25 lenticular array. When using lenticules with a

lightvalve array (transmitting array 930), a field lens 940 is used to ensure that the central beam to the projection lens is always at right angles to the lenticules in all parts of the picture. Alternatively, the lenticular array can be used in the manufacture of the light valve pixels to position them appropriately to allow for the angles of the light at different parts of the picture.

The function of field lens 940 is to transform beams of light filling certain angular bands into spatial ranges at the aperture stop of a projection lens 960. Light emerging from the field lens 940 is first passed through filter 950. Since the lenticular array of the transmitting array 930 consists of spherical lenticules, filter 950 could be similar to filter 720 depicted in **Figure 7(b)**, having a quarter segment of Red, quarter segment of Green, quarter segment of Blue and an extra quarter segment of a primary color. The arrangement of these segments would depend on the pattern of the pixels in the transmitting array 930. The Red rays will pass through the Red segment(s) of the filter 950, the Blue rays will pass through the Blue segment(s) of filter 950 and the Green rays will pass through the Green segment(s) of filter 950. The projection lens 960 focuses the filtered light output from filter 950 onto a screen or

other destination (not shown). The four rays, Red, Green, Blue and one of Red, Green or Blue, for a given pixel, are combined onto one location on that screen/destination by the projection lens 960. The lenticular array of transmitting array 930, the field lens 940 and filter 950, in combination with one another serve to emulate a large mosaic filter placed over a lightvalve array (as was depicted in **Figure 3** and **Figure 4**) with less expense. Interference filters, such as filter 950, may be used over the projection lens 960 to maximize their transmittance.

Projection system 900 is advantageous in that the mosaic filter is avoided and replaced instead by embossed lenticules (over the lightvalve array of transmitting array 930). Compared to a field sequential projection system, which has N pixels, the use of separate Red, Green and Blue signals and an extra primary color signal, increases the total number of pixels for projection system 900 to  $4*N$ . Compared to projection system 800, which uses rectangular shaped pixels, projection system 900 has the advantage of using square shaped pixels.

Another measure to consider is the final screen luminance of whites generated by projection system 900. Assume L is the final screen luminance of whites in a field sequential projection system using a single

reflecting lightvalve array with a polarizing cube. In one embodiment, the final screen luminance of the projection system 900 could be expressed as  $A^3 \cdot L$ , where A is the ratio of the area of a segment of the filter of the projection to the total filter area. In this embodiment, if filter 950 is of the same type as RGB filter 720 of **Figure 7(b)**, since the area of the segments are equal, then each segment would have an area of 0.25 of that of the total filter. In such a case, the final screen luminance would be  $0.25^3 \cdot L$  or  $0.0156 \cdot L$  which is less than the final screen luminance of a field sequential system by 25% ignoring any differences in the light losses between the polarizing cube and the lenticular optics.

In alternate embodiments, where filter 950 is of the same type as RGBW filter 730 of **Figure 7(c)**, then the filter 950 would have a quarter segment of Red, quarter segment of Green, quarter segment of Blue and an extra quarter segment of white (colorless). The number of pixels does not change and is  $4 \cdot N$ . However, the final screen luminance is improved by a factor of 1/3, since the extra White ray adds 0.25 of pure white luminance to the total luminance of each output pixel. Thus, the final screen luminance would be  $1.0 \cdot L$  ( $0.75 \cdot L + 0.25 \cdot L$ ), which is identical to that of field sequential systems.

The addition of a luminance signal as the fourth signal increases the total transmittance of the three primary colors. While this may be advantageous, implementing such an embodiment may also need special signal processing techniques that can handle Red, Green, Blue and pure luminance components in the final image.

In alternate embodiments, if other arrays are used, any difference between the transmittance factor of a transmitting array and the reflectance factor of a reflecting array used in the field sequential system will affect the final screen luminance. However, for chromaticities of higher purity produced by a mixture of red, green, blue and white filters, the maximum luminances relative to that of a white signal are reduced by factors of between 1 and 0.75 below those of pure RGB filtering systems. Or if a both arrays are reflecting lenticular arrays, the reflectance factor.

**Figure 10** illustrates a lenticular projection system with a reflecting chrominance array and transmitting luminance array. In projection system 1000, the display signals are separated into chrominance and luminance components. A true chrominance display has constant luminance, and this would have to be produced by the triplets of pixels (in the cylindrical lenticular methods). For the luminance to be constant for all groups

of pixels, it would have to be constant for all chromaticities within the display gamut. This means that the luminance level would have to be that of the chromaticity whose maximum luminance is the lowest.

5 Typically, broadcast television saves bandwidth, but due to the effects of gamma correction, some of the luminance is carried by the chrominance signals. Such signals where some luminance is carried is referred to as "pseudo-chrominance" signals. Systems with pseudo-chrominance signals also have what are referred to as "pseudo-luminance" signals that carry only part of the total luminance.

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

The display of projection system 1000 is initiated by a lamp 1010 which is typically a spherical light source. The light supplied by lamp 1010 is shone into an condenser 1020. Condenser 1020 causes the light from lamp 1010 to become spatially uniform. The light is first passed through a polarizing cube 1060 which allows any light arriving in a given direction X to pass through its hypotenuse while reflecting back any light perpendicular to that direction X.

20

25

On a lenticular reflecting chrominance array 1030, the chrominance signal is used to produce, in each group of three pixels underneath a cylindrical lenticule, the levels required to generate an image. Correspondingly, a

filter 1070 is placed proximate to the projection lens 1090. Filter 1070 is similar to filter 710 of **Figure 7(a)** in that it consists of three stripes, one Red, one Green and one Blue, matching the pattern of pixels in lenticular array 1030. After passing through the red, green, and blue stripes of filter 1070 on the projection lens 1090, these signal levels result in the chrominance features of the picture being displayed at constant luminance. Placed close to the lenticulated surface covering the reflecting chrominance array 1030 is a transmitting luminance lightvalve array 1040 such as can be provided by an array of transmitting LCD cells. The luminance signal is applied to the transmitting array 1040. The projection lens 1090 focuses the light controlled by this luminance array signal sharply on the output screen or destination (not depicted).

To summarize, the lenticular reflecting chrominance array 1030 modulates the chrominance component of the image. The transmitting luminance lightvalve array 1040, separately and independently of the array 1030, modulates the luminance component of the image. This has the advantage of being able to use a smaller array for the chrominance component at higher resolutions. The separately modulated luminance and chrominance components



pass through the polarizing cube 1060 and at the  
projection lens 1090.

The total number of pixels in projection system 1000  
depends upon the number of RGB triplets of pixels that is  
5 required, since the lenticular array 1030 uses  
cylindrical lenticules, in this embodiment. The middle  
stripe of filter being red enables 57% (assuming all  
three filter stripes are of equal width, and a circular  
filter) more red light than in the case of the field  
sequential system. Hence the reduction in luminance  
would be only to about 47% instead of to about 30%, if  
the luminance was equal to the maximum attainable at the  
chromaticity of the red phosphor. If a pseudo-chrominance  
signal is used that had the maximum attainable luminance  
at all chromaticities, there would again be no reduction  
in luminance, and there would be less luminance to be  
carried by the pseudo-chrominance signal at all  
chromaticities involving the red light. This may ensure  
that the increase in the number of pseudo-chrominance  
20 triplets need only be to one for every nine "pseudo-  
luminance" (carrying only part luminance) pixels. Thus,  
the total number of pixels would be equal to  $3 \cdot (1/9) \cdot N + N$   
 $= 1.33 \cdot N$ .

To decrease the total number of pixels in the above  
25 methods, the pseudo-chrominance and pseudo-luminance may

be replaced instead by a pure chrominance and pure  
luminance signals. It is then possible, as has been  
shown in the art, to use one sixteenth as many triplets  
of chrominance pixels as luminance pixels. The number of  
5 pixels required is then  $N$  for the luminance display, and  
 $(3/16)*N$  for chrominance, making a total of  $(19/16)*N$ .  
Compared to projection system 1000 using pseudo-  
chrominance, this has the advantage that the number of  
pixels is only  $(19/16)*N = 1.19*N$  instead of  $1.33*N$ . A  
disadvantage is that the chrominance display will absorb  
some of the light. The differences in this regard are  
described below in the section entitled "Transmittance of  
chrominance and pseudo-chrominance" displays.

The final screen luminance of system 1000 has a  
value reduced by any reduction in luminance caused by the  
pseudo-chrominance display (if used) and the absorption  
in the additional transmitting luminance array 1040.  
Alternatively, the final screen luminance may be reduced  
by the difference between the transmittance factor of two  
20 transmitting arrays, if used, and the reflectance factor  
of the reflecting array 1030 or the transmittance factor  
of a single transmitting array. As described, the final  
screen luminance of the projection system 1000 could be  
expressed as  $A*3*Y$ , where  $A$  is the ratio of the area of  
25 the shortest stripe of the filter of the projection to

the total filter area, and Y a reduction factor. For instance, if the filter 710 of **Figure 7(a)** is used, and if the widths of three stripes are equal, then the top and bottom stripes have an area of 0.28 of that of the total filter, while the center stripe has an area of 0.44 of that of the total filter. In such a case, the final screen luminance would be  $0.28 \times 3 \times Y$  or  $0.84 \times Y$ . The reduction factor Y is the difference between the transmittance factor of two transmitting arrays, if used, and the reflectance factor of the reflecting array or the transmittance factor of a single transmitting array.

Projection system 1000 is described in the above embodiment as using a lenticular reflecting array 1030 that has cylindrical lenticules and a RGB three-striped filter 1070. In alternate embodiments, the lenticular reflecting chrominance array 1030 may instead be composed of spherical lenticules (such as spherical lenticules 610 of **Figure 6(a)**). In one such alternate embodiment, the corresponding filter 1070 may instead be a four-segment RGB filter (such as filter 720 of **Figure 7(b)**). The number of quadruplets for pseudo-chrominance is  $(1/9) \times N$ , with the total number of pixels at  $4 \times (1/9) \times N + N = (13/9) \times N$  or  $1.44 \times N$ . The final screen luminance of such a projection system is 0.75 (the fractional area of a single segment multiplied by 3) multiplied by the

reduction factor Y. The reduction factor Y is the difference between the transmittance factor of two transmitting arrays, if used, and the reflectance factor of the reflecting array or the transmittance factor of a single transmitting array. As depicted, the final screen luminance would be  $0.75 \cdot R$ , which is the reflectance factor of the reflecting array.

In another such alternate embodiment, where the lenticular array 1030 uses spherical lenticles, the corresponding filter 1070 may instead be a four-segment RGBW filter (such as filter 730 of **Figure 7(c)**). The extra luminance carried by the chrominance array (due to the extra white pure luminance in the filter) causes the number of quadruplets of pixels for pseudo-chrominance to be  $(1/4) \cdot N$ . Thus the total number of pixels is  $4 \cdot (1/4) \cdot N$  plus N for a total of  $2 \cdot N$ . The final screen luminance is a total of 1.0 multiplied by the reduction factor Y. The reduction factor Y is the difference between the transmittance factor of two transmitting arrays, if used, and the reflectance factor of the reflecting array or the transmittance factor of a single transmitting array.

**Figure 11** illustrates a lenticular projection system with a reflecting chrominance array and reflecting luminance array. The display of projection system 1100 is initiated by a lamp 1110 which is typically a

spherical light source. The light supplied by lamp 1110 is shone into an condenser 1120. Condenser 1120 causes the light from lamp 1110 to become spatially uniform. These rays are first passed through a first polarizing cube 1150 which allows any light arriving in a given direction X to pass through its hypotenuse while reflecting back any light perpendicular to that direction X.

On a lenticular reflecting chrominance array 1130, the chrominance signal is used to produce, in each group of three pixels underneath a cylindrical lenticule, the levels required to generate an image. Correspondingly, a filter 1160 is placed proximate to a relay lens 1170. Filter 1160 is similar to filter 710 of **Figure 7(a)** in that it consists of three stripes, one Red, one Green and one Blue, matching the pattern of pixels in lenticular array 1130. After passing through the red, green, and blue stripes of filter 1160 on the relay lens 1170, these signal levels display the chrominance features of the picture at constant luminance.

The relay lens 1170 images the reflecting chrominance array 1130 on to a reflecting luminance array 1180. The relay lens 1170 also places thereby the modulated chrominance component of the image into the

second polarizing cube 1175. The use of relay lens 1170  
in the optical path prior to the main projection lens  
1190 results in some loss of definition and increase in  
flare. It also introduces the need for registration of  
5 the image produced by the relay lens 1170 on the second  
light-valve array 1180. However, the fact that only the  
low definition image passes through the relay lens 1170  
greatly alleviates the importance of these factors. The  
relay lens 1170 makes it possible to reduce the size of  
the reflecting chrominance array 1130 and thus can reduce  
its cost. With the number of chrominance pixels being  
(3/9)N (see below), for pixels of the same area in the  
chrominance array 1130, its total area can be reduced to  
one third.

The total number of pixels in projection system 1100  
depends upon the number of RGB triplets of pixels that is  
required, since the lenticular array 1130 uses  
cylindrical lenticules, in this embodiment. The middle  
stripe of filter being red enables 57% (assuming all  
20 three filter stripes are of equal width, and a circular  
filter) more red light than in the case of the field  
sequential system. Hence the reduction in luminance  
would be only to about 47% instead of to about 30%, if  
the luminance was equal to the maximum attainable at the  
25 chromaticity of the red phosphor. If a pseudo-chrominance

signal is used that had the maximum attainable luminance at all chromaticities, there would again be no reduction in luminance, and there would be less luminance to be carried by the pseudo-chrominance signal at all chromaticities involving the red light. This may ensure that the increase in the number of pseudo-chrominance triplets need only be to one for every nine "pseudo-luminance" (carrying only part luminance) pixels. Thus, the total number of pixels would be equal to  $3 \cdot (1/9) \cdot N + N = 1.33 \cdot N$ .

To decrease the total number of pixels in the above methods, the pseudo-chrominance and pseudo-luminance may be replaced instead by a pure chrominance and pure luminance signals. It is then possible, as has been shown in the art, to use one sixteenth as many triplets of chrominance pixels as luminance pixels. The number of pixels required is then  $N$  for the luminance display, and  $(3/16) \cdot N$  for chrominance, making a total of  $(19/16) \cdot N$ . Compared to projection system 1100 using pseudo-chrominance, this has the advantage that the number of pixels is only  $(19/16) \cdot N = 1.19 \cdot N$  instead of  $1.33 \cdot N$ . A disadvantage is that the chrominance display will absorb some of the light. The differences in this regard are described below in the section entitled "Transmittance of chrominance and pseudo-chrominance" displays.

The final screen luminance of system 1100 has a value reduced by any reduction in luminance caused by the pseudo-chrominance display (if used) and the absorption in the additional reflecting luminance array 1180.

5 Alternatively, the final screen luminance may be reduced by the difference between the transmittance factor of two transmitting arrays, if used, and the reflectance factor of the reflecting array 1130 or the transmittance factor of a single transmitting array. As described, the final screen luminance of the projection system 1100 could be expressed as  $A^3 \cdot Y$ , where A is the ratio of the area of the shortest stripe of the filter of the projection to the total filter area, and a reduction factor. For instance, if the filter 710 of **Figure 7(a)** is used, and if the widths of three stripes are equal, then the top and bottom stripes have an area of 0.28 of that of the total filter, while the center stripe has an area of 0.44 of that of the total filter. In such a case, the final screen luminance would be  $0.28^3 \cdot R$  or  $0.84 \cdot R$ . The

20 reduction factor R is the reflectance factor of the reflecting array since only reflecting arrays are used.

Projection system 1100 is described in the above embodiment as using a lenticular reflecting array 1130 that has cylindrical lenticles and using a RGB three-

25 striped filter 1160. In alternate embodiments, the



lenticular reflecting chrominance array 1130 may instead be composed of spherical lenticles (such as spherical lenticles 610 of **Figure 6(a)**). In one such alternate embodiment, the corresponding filter 1160 may instead be a four-segment RGB filter (such as filter 720 of **Figure 7(b)**). The number of quadruplets for pseudo-chrominance is  $(1/9)*N$ , with the total number of pixels at  $4*(1/9)*N + N = (13/9)*N$  or  $1.44*N$ . The final screen luminance of such a projection system is 0.75 (the fractional area of a single segment multiplied by 3) multiplied by the reflectance factor of the reflecting array since only reflecting arrays are used.

In another such alternate embodiment where the lenticular array 1130 uses spherical lenticles, the corresponding filter 1160 may instead be a four-segment RGBW filter (such as filter 730 of **Figure 7(c)**). The extra luminance carried by the chrominance array (due to the extra white pure luminance in the filter) causes the number of quadruplets of pixels for pseudo-chrominance to be  $(1/4)*N$ . Thus the total number of pixels is  $4*(1/4)*N$  plus  $N$  for a total of  $2*N$ . The final screen luminance is a total of 1.0 multiplied by the reflectance factor of the reflecting array since only reflecting arrays are used.

**Figure 12** illustrates a lenticular projection system with a transmitting chrominance array and reflecting luminance array. The display of projection system 1200 is initiated by a lamp 1210 which is typically a spherical light source. The light supplied by lamp 1210 is shone into an condenser 1220. Condenser 1220 causes the light from lamp 1210 to become spatially uniform. These rays are first passed through a first polarizing cube 1250 which allows any light arriving in a given direction X to pass through its hypotenuse while reflecting back any light perpendicular to that direction X. The purpose of polarizing cube 1250 is to polarize light rays if the transmitting chrominance array 1230 is an LCD array.

On a lenticular transmitting chrominance array 1230, the chrominance signal is used to produce, in each group of three pixels underneath a cylindrical lenticule, the levels required to generate a chrominance image.

Correspondingly, a filter 1260 is placed proximate to a relay lens 1270. Filter 1260 is similar to filter 710 of **Figure 7(a)** in that it consists of three stripes, one Red, one Green and one Blue, matching the pattern of pixels in lenticular array 1230. After passing through the red, green, and blue stripes of filter 1260 on the relay lens 1270, these signal levels result in the

chrominance features of the picture being displayed at constant luminance. The relay lens 1270 images the transmitting chrominance array 1230 on to a reflecting luminance array 1280. The use of relay lens 1270 in the optical path prior to the main projection lens 1290 results in some loss of definition and increase in flare. It also introduces the need for registration of the image produced by the relay lens 1270 on the second light-valve array 1280. Polarizing cube 1275 allows any light arriving in a given direction X to pass through its hypotenuse while reflecting back any light perpendicular to that direction X. The purpose of polarizing cube 1275 is to combine the luminance and chrominance components of the image, and to allow the reflecting luminance array 1280 to modulate the input chrominance image. However, the fact that only the low definition image passes through the relay lens 1270 greatly alleviates the importance of these factors. The relay lens 1270 makes it possible to reduce the size of the reflecting chrominance array 1130 and thus can reduce its cost.

With the number of chrominance pixels being  $(3/9)N$  (see below), for pixels of the same area in the chrominance array 1230, its total area can be reduced to one third.

The total number of pixels in projection system 1200 depends upon the number of RGB triplets of pixels that is

required, since the lenticular array 1230 uses cylindrical lenses, in this embodiment. The middle stripe of filter being red enables 57% (assuming all three filter stripes are of equal width, and a circular filter) more red light than in the case of the field sequential system. Hence the reduction in luminance would be only to about 47% instead of to about 30%, if the luminance was equal to the maximum attainable at the chromaticity of the red phosphor. If a pseudo-chrominance signal is used that had the maximum attainable luminance at all chromaticities, there would again be no reduction in luminance, and there would be less luminance to be carried by the pseudo-chrominance signal at all chromaticities involving the red light. This may ensure that the increase in the number of pseudo-chrominance triplets need only be to one for every nine "pseudo-luminance" (carrying only part luminance) pixels. Thus, the total number of pixels would be equal to  $3 \cdot (1/9) \cdot N + N = 1.33 \cdot N$ .

To decrease the total number of pixels in the above methods, the pseudo-chrominance and pseudo-luminance may be replaced instead by a pure chrominance and pure luminance signals. It is then possible, as has been shown in the art, to use one sixteenth as many triplets of chrominance pixels as luminance pixels. The number of

pixels required is then  $N$  for the luminance display, and  $(3/16)*N$  for chrominance, making a total of  $(19/16)*N$ . Compared to projection system 1200 using pseudo-chrominance, this has the advantage that the number of pixels is only  $(19/16)*N = 1.19*N$  instead of  $1.33*N$ . A disadvantage is that the chrominance display will absorb some of the light. The differences in this regard are described below in the section entitled "Transmittance of chrominance and pseudo-chrominance" displays.

The final screen luminance of system 1200 has a value reduced by any reduction in luminance caused by the pseudo-chrominance display (if used) and the absorption in the additional transmitting luminance array 1240. Alternatively, the final screen luminance may be reduced by the difference between the transmittance factor of two transmitting arrays, if used, and the reflectance factor of the reflecting array 1230 or the transmittance factor of a single transmitting array. As described, the final screen luminance of the projection system 1200 could be expressed as  $A*3*T$ , where  $A$  is the ratio of the area of the shortest stripe of the filter of the projection to the total filter area, and a reduction factor  $T$ . For instance, if the filter 710 of **Figure 7(a)** is used, and if the widths of three stripes are equal, then the top and bottom stripes have an area of 0.28 of that of the

total filter, while the center stripe has an area of 0.44  
of that of the total filter. In such a case, the final  
screen luminance would be  $0.28 \times 3 \times T$  or  $0.84 \times T$ . The  
reduction factor T is the transmittance factor of the  
transmitting array 1230.

Projection system 1200 is described in the above  
embodiment as using a lenticular transmitting array 1230  
that has cylindrical lenticules and using a RGB three-  
striped filter 1260. In alternate embodiments, the  
lenticular transmitting chrominance array 1230 may  
instead be composed of spherical lenticules (such as  
spherical lenticules 610 of **Figure 6(a)**). In one such  
alternate embodiment, the corresponding filter 1160 may  
instead be a four-segment RGB filter (such as filter 720  
of **Figure 7(b)**). The number of quadruplets for pseudo-  
chrominance is  $(1/9) \times N$ , with the total number of pixels  
at  $4 \times (1/9) \times N + N = (13/9) \times N$  or  $1.44 \times N$ . The final screen  
luminance of such a projection system is 0.75 (the  
fractional area of a single segment multiplied by 3)  
multiplied by the transmittance factor T of the  
transmitting array 1230.

In another such alternate embodiment where the  
lenticular array 1230 uses spherical lenticules, the  
corresponding filter 1260 may instead be a four-segment  
RGBW filter (such as filter 730 of **Figure 7(c)**). The

extra luminance carried by the chrominance array (due to the extra white pure luminance in the filter) causes the number of quadruplets of pixels for pseudo-chrominance to be  $(1/4)*N$ . Thus the total number of pixels is  $4*(1/4)*N$  plus N for a total of  $2*N$ . The final screen luminance is a total of 1.0 multiplied by T, the transmittance factor of the transmitting array 1230.

### Transmittance of Chrominance versus Pseudo-chrominance

A true chrominance display has constant luminance, and this would have to be produced by the triplets of pixels (in the cylindrical lenticular methods) or by the quadruplets of pixels (in the spherical lenticular methods). For the luminance to be constant for all groups of pixels, it would have to be constant for all chromaticities within the display gamut. This implies that the luminance level would have to be that of the chromaticity whose maximum luminance is the lowest.

In the cylindrical lenticular methods, the luminance of the blue primary is always the lowest, and is lower than that of the display white by a large factor, typically representing a reduction to about 10%. This would imply that the luminance-chrominance displays would be only one tenth of the luminance of the RGB lenticular display system 800 of **Figure 8**. However, it is known in the field of broadcast television that considerable

savings in bandwidth can be made even when, because of the effects of gamma correction, some of the luminance is carried by the chrominance signals (referred to as pseudo-chrominance signals). If a pseudo-chrominance signal was used in which the luminance was equal to the maximum attainable at the chromaticity of the red phosphor where possible, and elsewhere was the maximum attainable, the reduction in luminance would only be to about 30%. This would result in chromaticities near those of the blue phosphor having part of their luminance displayed by the low-definition pseudo-chrominance display. But, since the human eye has poor resolution of blue light, any reduction in picture definition would be negligibly small.

If a pseudo-chrominance signal were used in which the luminance was equal to the maximum attainable at the chromaticity of the green phosphor where possible, and elsewhere was the maximum attainable, the reduction in luminance would only be to about 60%. This would result in chromaticities near those of the blue and red phosphors having part of their luminance displayed by the low-definition display. Again since the eye has poorer resolution of red light than of white light, any reduction in picture definition would not be large.



Finally, if a pseudo-chrominance signal were used in which the luminance was equal to the maximum attainable at all chromaticities, there would be no reduction in luminance. This would result in all chromaticities other than those of the display white having part of their luminance displayed by the low-definition display. Again since the eye has poorer resolution of colored light than of white light, the reduction in picture definition would be limited. The reduction could be ameliorated by increasing the number of triplets for pseudo-chrominance from one for every sixteen pseudo-luminance pixels to one for every four, for instance, making a total number of pixels equal to  $(3/4 + 4/4)N = (7/4)N$ .

The pixel count can be further modified by choosing the center stripe on the projection lens to be used for the red filter. On a circular lens this results in there being about 57% more red light than in the case of the field sequential system. Hence the reduction in luminance would be only to about 47% instead of to about 30%, if the luminance was equal to the maximum attainable at the chromaticity of the red phosphor. If the pseudo-chrominance signal had the maximum attainable luminance at all chromaticities, there would again be no reduction in luminance. In fact, there would be less luminance to be carried by the pseudo-chrominance signal at all

chromaticities involving the red light. This might ensure that the increase in the number of pseudo-chrominance triplets need only be to one for every nine pseudo-luminance pixels making a total number of pixels equal to  $(3/9 + 9/9)*N = 1.33*N$ , which is described in the embodiments above.

In spherical lenticular systems, using four colored elements, the luminance of the blue primary is also always the lowest and lower than that of the display white by a large factor. If two of the elements were red and a pseudo-chrominance signal were used in which the luminance was equal to the maximum attainable at the chromaticity of the two red elements (phosphors), and elsewhere was the maximum attainable, the reduction in luminance would only be to about 60%. Again, this would result in chromaticities near those of the blue phosphor having part of their luminance displayed by the low definition display with the poor blue resolution by the human eye resulting in only negligibly small effects. If a pseudo-chrominance signal had the maximum at all chromaticities, there would again be no reduction in luminance. This would again result in all chromaticities other than those of the display white having part of their luminance displayed by the low-definition display. However, compared to the cylindrical lenticular methods,

the effects would be reduced by the double area of red. Also, the poorer resolution of the eye to colored light than to white light will again reduce the apparent effects. If these effects were not negligible, they could again be allowed for by increasing the number of pseudo-chrominance quadruplets from one for every sixteen luminance pixels to one for every nine, making a total number of pixels equal to  $(4/9 + 9/9)*N = (13/9)*N$ , that is  $1.44*N$ .

In the spherical lenticular methods using red, green, blue, and colorless segments in the filter, the luminance of the blue primary will be lower than that of the display white by an even larger factor. The number of pseudo-chrominance groups then has to be increased to one for every four pseudo-luminance pixels, making a total number of pixels of  $(4/4 + 1)*N$ , or  $2*N$ .

#### **Using Mosaics or Prism Assemblies**

Instead of cylindrical lenticular chrominance systems, mosaics of red, green, and blue areas can be used. In the case of the spherical lenticular chrominance systems, mosaics of a red area, a green area, a blue area, and a red or green or blue area can be used, or mosaics of a red area, a green area, a blue area, and a colorless area can be used. The advantage of using mosaics instead of lenticular systems is that the

complications of the lenticular optics are avoided. One disadvantage is that, because filters in mosaics have to be made of dyes rather than of the dichroic materials which can be used in lenticular systems, the displays have luminances reduced to about 30%.

Instead of the lenticular chrominance arrays in systems shown in systems 1000 and 1100, a dichroic prism assembly with three lightvalve arrays can be used. The loss of light caused by the filter wheel in the field sequential system or by the filter arrays in the mosaic and lenticular systems, is avoided in the prism assembly by the superimposition of the three images optically using dichroic reflectors. This gain in efficiency, potentially by a factor of three, makes it possible to use a pseudo-chrominance signal the luminance of which is only one third of that of the white. This still achieves a system in which the luminance of the white is not reduced relative to that of the field sequential system (except for absorptions in the prism assembly and in the second light-valve arrays). The reduction of the chrominance display to one-third of that of the white means that luminance is carried by it only for bluish colors to which the eye is not very sensitive. The number of pixels in the three chrominance arrays need therefore be only one sixteenth of those in the luminance

array, making a total number of pixels of  $(3/16 + 1) * N =$   
 $(19/16) * N = 1.19N$ . The small number of pixels required  
in each of the chrominance arrays means that, for the  
same size of pixel, these arrays need be only one  
5 sixteenth of the area of the luminance array thus  
lowering their cost dramatically. The prism assembly can  
also be greatly reduced in size, again lowering cost.  
The need for exact registration of the three chrominance  
arrays is also alleviated by their being only used for  
the low-definition chrominance display.

#### COMPARISON TABLE OF ALL PROJECTION SYSTEMS

Projection system	Lenticule /System	Filters	Chromin. Array / Lumin. Array	Pixel Shape	Pixels	White Lum.
	Field Seq.	RGB	Refl.			1
	Prism Ass.	RGB	Refl.		3N	3P
100 (3 lamps)	Triple Proj.	RGB	Trans. or Refl.		3N	3
200 (1 lamp)	Triple Proj.	RGB	Trans. or Refl.		3N	1
400	Mosaic	RGB	Refl.	Square	3N	0.30
800	Cyl.	RGB	Trans. or Refl.	Rectangular	3N	0.84X
900	Sph.	RGBR	Trans. or Refl.	Square	4N	0.75X
900 (filter 730)	Sph	RGBW	Trans. or Refl.	Square	4N	1.00X
1000	Cyl.	RGB	Rfl orTr / Trans	Rectangular	1.33N	0.84Y
1000 (filter 720)	Sph.	RGBR	Rfl orTr / Trans.	Square	1.44N	0.75Y
1000 (filter 730)	Sph.	RGBW	Rfl orTr / Trans.	Square	2.00N	1.00Y
1100	Cyl.	RGB	Refl. / Refl.	Rectangular	1.33N	0.84R
1100 (filter 720)	Sph.	RGBR	Refl. / Refl.	Square	1.44N	0.75R
1100 (filter 730)	Sph.	RGBW	Ref. / Refl.	Square	2.00N	1.00R
1200	Cyl.	RGB	Trans. / Refl.	Rectangular	1.33N	0.84T
1200 (filter 720)	Sph.	RGBR	Trans. / Ref.	Square	1.44N	0.75T
1200 (filter 730)	Sph.	RGBW	Trans. / Ref.	Square	2.00N	1.00T
(no lent.)	Mosaic	RGB		Square	1.33N	0.25T

(no lent.)	Mosaic	RGBR		Square	1.44N	0.22T
(no lent.)	Mosaic	RGBW		Square	2.00N	0.30T
(no lent.)	Prism ass	RGB	Ref. /Trans.	Square	1.19N	1.00PT
(no lent.)	Prism ass.	RGB	Refl. /Ref.	Square	1.19N	1.00PR

In the above table, P is the transmittance factor of prism assembly, T is transmittance factor of transmitting array, R the reflectance factor of reflecting array, and X, the difference between transmittance factor of transmitting array, if used, and reflectance factor of reflecting array. Y is the difference between transmittance factor of two transmitting arrays, if used, and reflectance factor of reflecting array, or T if only one transmitting array is used.

The invention, as described above, refers to "lightvalves" as the light modulation device. However, it will be appreciated by one of ordinary skill in the art, that the principles presented herein can be applied to any type of light modulation device, such as a liquid crystal device or a micro-mirror device. Additionally, while the invention refers to the initial light source as an incandescent lamp, the initial light source may be any kind of illuminant, such an incandescent lamp, a mercury halogen lamp, an array of lasers or powerful LEDs (Light Emitting Diodes).

While the invention has been described with reference to numerous specific details, one of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the invention can be embodied in other specific forms without departing from the spirit of the invention. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art will understand that the invention is not to be limited by the foregoing illustrative details, but rather is to be defined by the appended claims.

5

10001028-1